

Therapeutic effects of dry needling in patients with upper trapezius myofascial trigger points

Maryam Abbaszadeh-Amirdehi,¹ Nouredin Nakhostin Ansari,² Soofia Naghdi,² Gholamreza Olyaei,² Mohammad Reza Nourbakhsh³

¹Department of Physiotherapy, School of Rehabilitation, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran

²Department of Physiotherapy, School of Rehabilitation, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³Department of Physical Therapy, University of North Georgia, Dehlonga, Georgia, USA

Correspondence to

Dr Maryam Abbaszadeh-Amirdehi: Department of Physiotherapy, School of Rehabilitation, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Ganjafrooz Avenue, Babol 4717647745, Iran; abbaszadeh_m@alumnus.tums.ac.ir

Accepted 5 September 2016
Published Online First
3 October 2016

ABSTRACT

Background Active myofascial trigger points (MTrPs) are major pain generators in myofascial pain syndrome. Dry needling (DN) is an effective method for the treatment of MTrPs.

Objective To assess the immediate neurophysiological and clinical effects of DN in patients with upper trapezius MTrPs.

Methods This was a prospective, clinical trial study of 20 patients with upper trapezius MTrPs and 20 healthy volunteers (matched for height, weight, body mass index and age), all of whom received one session of DN. Primary outcome measures were neuromuscular junction response (NMJR) and sympathetic skin response (SSR). Secondary outcomes were pain intensity (PI) and pressure pain threshold (PPT). Data were collected at baseline and immediately post-intervention.

Results At baseline, SSR amplitude was higher in patients versus healthy volunteers ($p < 0.003$). With respect to NMJR, a clinically abnormal increment and normal reduction was observed in patients and healthy volunteers, respectively. Moreover, PPT of patients was less than healthy volunteers ($p < 0.0001$). After DN, SSR amplitude decreased significantly in patients ($p < 0.01$), but did not change in healthy volunteers. A clinically important reduction in the NMJR of patients and increment in healthy volunteers was demonstrated after DN. PPT increased after DN in patients, but decreased in healthy volunteers ($p < 0.0001$). PI improved after DN in patients ($p < 0.001$).

Conclusions The results of this study showed that one session of DN targeting active MTrPs appears to reduce hyperactivity of the sympathetic nervous system and irritability of the motor endplate. DN seems effective at improving symptoms and deactivating active MTrPs, although further research is needed.

Trial registration number
IRCT20130316128.

INTRODUCTION

Myofascial pain syndrome, associated with myofascial trigger points (MTrPs), is a musculoskeletal disorder that is characterised by the presence of palpable taut bands and highly irritable points in the skeletal muscles. MTrPs can be active or latent. Active MTrPs produce spontaneous pain and symptoms, while latent MTrPs do not produce any symptoms unless pressure is applied.^{1 2} MTrPs more commonly affect postural muscles including the trapezius.^{3 4} Formation of MTrPs can cause pain (sensory component), motor dysfunction (motor component) and autonomic reactions (autonomic component) in the affected muscles.²

Simons *et al*² hypothesised that palpable taut bands in the affected muscles are due to excessive acetylcholine release at the neuromuscular junction (motor endplate). In this situation, continuous contraction of the muscle fibres, which is accompanied by increased metabolism and local ischaemia, leads to increased secretion of sensitising substances and can subsequently cause pain and autonomic reactions such as increased sweating, vasoconstriction or vasodilation, and pilomotor activity in the muscle.^{5 6} Although it is speculated that such motor and autonomic changes occur in patients with MTrPs, to our knowledge no previous human study has evaluated the extent of such reactions in these patients after MTrP dry needling (DN). The sympathetic skin reaction (SSR), which is commonly used to evaluate functions of the autonomic nervous system⁷ and neuromuscular junction response (NMJR) to repetitive nerve stimulation (RNS), which is frequently used for the assessment of motor endplate dysfunction,⁸ can be used to objectively detect



CrossMark

To cite: Abbaszadeh-Amirdehi M, Ansari NN, Naghdi S, *et al.* *Acupunct Med* 2017;**35**:85–92.

autonomic changes and motor dysfunction in patients with MTrPs.

Various invasive and non-invasive procedures are available to help manage MTrPs. DN is a minimally invasive method that has recently gained popularity for the treatment of MTrPs.⁹ DN involves inserting an acupuncture needle directly into an MTrP without injection of material.¹⁰ The effectiveness and safety of this technique has been confirmed by several studies.^{11–13} DN of upper trapezius MTrPs is increasingly documented. Previous studies assessing the effect of DN on MTrPs of the upper trapezius muscle have demonstrated reduced pain and pain pressure threshold (PPT), increased local blood flow,¹⁴ a restored range of motion at the neck,^{15 16} and improved quality of life.^{17–19} To our knowledge, there are no existing data on the neurophysiological effects of DN (including changes in NMJR and SSR) in patients with upper trapezius MTrPs. The aims of this study were: (1) to examine for differences in SSR, NMJR and PPT between patients with active MTrPs and healthy volunteers; and (2) to assess the neurophysiological and clinical effects of DN on sensory, motor and autonomic components of MTrPs in the trapezius muscle. We hypothesised that DN

stimulation of MTrPs would relieve pain, decrease sympathetic outflow and improve the NMJR.

METHODS

Study design

Forty participants comprising 20 patients (aged 31.7 ± 10.8 years) and 20 matched healthy volunteers (aged 30.4 ± 5.6 years) were included in this study (figure 1). The patients were recruited from the orthopaedic and physiotherapy clinics of Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS) and were deemed eligible if they had experienced persistent neck pain for >6 months and were found to have an active MTrP at the standardised location 'TrP₂' with spontaneous pain in the right (dominant) upper trapezius muscle based on the following definition of an active MTrP:^{1 2} presence of a palpable, discrete nodule within a taut band of skeletal muscle with reproduction of pain on palpation.⁵ Patients with whiplash injury, previous cervical or shoulder surgery, systemic disorders, neurological deficits, use of sedative or anti-coagulant drugs, epilepsy, pregnancy, needle phobia, skin lesions or evidence of infection at the MTrP site were excluded. Healthy volunteers (with no history of neck pain) were matched to the patients based on




Figure 1 Flow diagram of the study. DN, dry needling; NMJR, neuromuscular junction response; PPT, pressure pain thresholds; SSR, sympathetic skin response; TrP, trigger point.

height, weight, body mass index (BMI) and age. Key inclusion criteria for the healthy volunteers were good general health and lack of latent MTrPs within the upper trapezius muscle. They reported no pain or discomfort on palpation of the upper trapezius in the TrP₂ region. All participants gave written informed consent before entering the study, which was approved by the Ethical Committee of TUMS (reference no. 2185).

Interventions

The treatment protocol for this study was as previously described.²⁰ Participants were asked to rest in a supine position on the treatment table in a silent, semi-dark room for 10 min before the experiment began. A comfortable room temperature of 24°C was maintained for standardisation. Then, baseline measurements of SSR, NMJR, pain intensity (PI) and PPT were recorded by a trained physiotherapist. Subsequently, all participants received one session of DN that included insertion of an acupuncture needle of 0.30 mm diameter and 50 mm length (Seirin J, Japan) into the identified active MTrP of the upper trapezius muscle in the same supine position (figure 2A). The needle was partially moved up and down 3–5 times and then removed irrespective of whether a twitch response was elicited or not. The same procedure was performed on the healthy individuals; however, the needle was inserted into the middle of the almost horizontal fibres of the right upper trapezius at a location similar to that of the upper trapezius TrP₂ of the patients.² Thus, the needle was inserted into the TrP₂ region in the patients and a corresponding point in the healthy volunteers. Before the intervention and immediately afterwards, outcome measures (SSR, NMJR, PI and PPT) were recorded by the physiotherapist performing the intervention.

Measurements

Primary and secondary outcome measures were recorded at baseline and immediately after DN. The primary outcome measures were SSR and NMJR. SSR, which is expressed as a potential generated in the sweat glands of the skin, was assessed by Toennies Neuroscreen Plus (Toennies, Germany), an electromyography (EMG) instrument used to calculate electrodiagnostic parameters measured via surface electrodes. SSR was measured by electrical stimulation of the median nerve at the wrist with a sensitivity of 500 µV/div and sweep speed of 1000 ms/div. SSR signals were filtered at a frequency of 0.08–20 Hz. The participants rested in a supine position on a treatment table in a semi-dark and silent room for 10 min before EMG evaluation. The room temperature was maintained at 24°C. The surface recording electrodes were applied bilaterally to the palmar and dorsal aspects of both hands, 2 cm from the medial border.




Figure 2 (A) Positioning of participant for dry needling intervention. (B) Sympathetic skin response (SSR) of the median nerve. (C) Neuromuscular junction response (NMJR) of the accessory nerve.

A grounding electrode was fastened on the wrist. Electrical stimulation was applied to the proximal part of the volar wrist in the distribution of the median nerve only on the affected side, while simultaneous recordings were made bilaterally. Three repetitive stimulations of the median nerve were delivered at 1-min intervals. The mean score of SSR latency and amplitude of the three trials were calculated for each side²⁰ (figure 2B). The other primary outcome, NMJR, was evaluated using an RNS technique. A 3 Hz RNS was applied using trains of nine supramaximal electrical stimulations of the spinal accessory motor nerve with a recording electrode over the upper trapezius and the EMG instrument (Toennies Neuroscreen Plus, Germany) with a sensitivity of 5 mV/div, sweep speed of 5 ms/div and filter of 5 Hz–5 KHz. Surface stimulating electrodes were placed on the posterior border of the sternocleidomastoid muscle at the level of the upper border of the thyroid cartilage, while surface recording electrodes were located over the upper trapezius muscle 5 cm from the C7 spinous process. The spinal accessory nerve was subsequently stimulated and compound muscle

action potentials (CMAPs) were recorded. To characterise NMJR, the first and fifth CMAPs were compared and the resultant decrement or increment of the amplitude was represented as a percentage. A decrement of up to 8–10% in the amplitude of the CMAP was considered normal, while a decrement of >10% or any increment of the tested muscle was considered to be an abnormal NMJR (figure 2C).

The secondary outcome measures were PI and PPT. PI was assessed using a 0–10 numerical rating scale (NRS), with 0 representing no pain and 10 representing worst imaginable pain. PPT was measured relative to the perpendicular pressure from the metal rod of an algometer (Digital Instrument, Lutron, Taiwan) applied over TrP₂ of the upper trapezius muscle in patients and the corresponding point in healthy volunteers in a supine position at 1 kg/cm². The pressure was removed when the patient reported an increase in PI or discomfort. For the healthy volunteer, pressure by the algometer was removed when they felt pain rather than pressure. Measurements were repeated three times at 40 s intervals and averaged.

Statistical analysis

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) V.17 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) was used to analyse the data. A sample size calculation was performed based on a pilot study with the following assumptions: effect size 0.8 for primary outcome measures SSR and NMJR; $\alpha=0.05$; and power=80%. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to examine the normality of distribution for quantitative data ($p>0.05$). Baseline measurements were compared between the two groups using the independent t-test. The Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to assess changes in PI before and after the intervention within each group. A two-by-two mixed design analysis of variance (ANOVA) with time (pre-intervention vs post-intervention) as the within-subject factor and group (patients vs healthy volunteers, each receiving DN) as the between-subject factor, was used to determine the effects of the intervention on SSR and PPT. The output of interest was the group-by-time interaction at $\alpha=0.05$.

RESULTS

Seventeen of 20 patients and 16 of 20 healthy volunteers were women. The right trapezius was the affected side in all of the patients. By design, there were no statistically significant differences in age, weight, height and BMI between the two groups (table 1). There were also no significant differences in SSR latency on the affected or unaffected sides between the two groups; however, patients with active trigger points had a significantly higher mean amplitude of SSR on both sides compared to healthy volunteers. With respect to NMJR, a clinically abnormal

Table 1 Baseline characteristics

	MTrP patients (n=20)	Healthy volunteers (n=20)	p Value
Age (years)	31.7±10.9	30.4±5.6	0.6
Weight (kg)	63.4±9.5	61.0±15.9	0.6
Height (cm)	164±6	165±9	0.6
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.3±2.5	21.9±3.2	0.1

Data are presented as mean±SD.
BMI, body mass index.

increment percentage response and a normal reduction were seen in the patients and healthy volunteers, respectively. Before the intervention, PPT in patients with MTrPs was significantly less than healthy volunteers (table 2).

Primary outcome measure

The mixed-model ANOVA did not indicate a statistically significant time-by-group interaction for SSR latencies on the affected and opposite sides. However, there was a significant effect of time, with both groups experiencing similar increases in SSR latencies. The other parameter of SSR, amplitude, showed a statistically significant time-by-group interaction on both sides. Patients experienced a decrease in amplitude. With respect to NMJR, it is agreed that a decrement of up to 8–10% in the CMAP of the upper trapezius muscle as a response to RNS can be considered to be a clinically normal response.²¹ As shown in table 3, the mean NMJR among the patients was +5.6% and thus outside of the normal range. By comparison, the NMJR of the healthy group (−0.9%) was within the normal range. Immediately following DN, the abnormal incremental response of NMJR of patients at baseline was decreased to a value within the normal range (5.6% to −2.9%; table 3).

Secondary outcome measure

The two-by-two mixed-model ANOVA revealed a significant time-by-group interaction for PPT; patients receiving DN experienced an increase in PPT whereas the healthy control group showed a decrease in PPT after DN. The result of the Wilcoxon rank test indicated a significant decrease in PI of patients after DN (table 3).

DISCUSSION

In the current study, we considered the neurophysiological and clinical changes induced by DN including SSR, NMJR, PPT and PI in patients with active MTrPs in the trapezius muscle. Our findings indicated a significant decrease in SSR amplitude and NMJR after a single session of DN. Indeed, there was significant improvement in PI and PPT after DN.

Table 2 Comparisons of the SSR, PPT and NMJR between the two groups at baseline

Variable	Patients (n=20)	Healthy volunteers (n=20)	t	p Value
Ipsilateral SSR latency (s)	1.1±0.3	1.1±0.2	0.3	0.7
Ipsilateral SSR amplitude (mV)	2.5±1.4	1.4±0.7	3.2	0.003
Contralateral SSR latency (s)	1.2±0.3	1.1±0.3	0.8	0.4
Contralateral SSR amplitude (mV)	2.0±1.4	1.1±1.0	2.1	0.03
PPT (kg/cm ²)	1.1±0.5	2.4±1.3	-3.8	0.0001
NMJR (%)	5.6±26.7	-0.9±10.9		

p value<0.05 is significant.

Data are presented as mean±SD.

NMJR, neuromuscular junction response; PPT, pressure pain threshold; SSR, skin sympathetic response.

Table 3 Comparison of the final values of SSR, PPT and NMJR between the two groups

Variable	Patients (n=20)		Healthy volunteers (n=20)		Time			Time×group interaction		
	Pre-DN	Post-DN	Pre-DN	Post-DN	F	p Value	Effect size	F	p Value	Effect size
Ipsilateral SSR latency (s)	1.15±0.38	1.34±0.29	1.11±0.28	1.35±0.48	16	0.0001	0.3	0.2	0.7	0.005
Ipsilateral SSR amplitude (mV)	2.5±1.4	1.3±1.0	1.4±0.7	1.4±1.1	7.3	0.01	0.2	6.9	0.01	0.15
Contralateral SSR latency (s)	1.2±0.37	1.32±0.34	1.11±0.33	1.28±0.37	8.9	0.005	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.008
Contralateral SSR amplitude (mV)	2.0±1.4	1.2±1.3	1.2±1.1	1.5±1.6	0.5	0.5	0.1	4.2	0.04	0.1
PPT (kg/cm ²)	1.15±0.50	1.52±0.59	2.42±1.38	1.71±1.16	2.8	0.1	0.07	28	0.0001	0.4
NMJR (%)	5.6±26.7	-2.9±13.8	-0.9±10.9	0.9±6.8						
PI (NRS score)	5 (4-7)	2 (1-3.8)	z=-3.9, p= 0.0001							

p value<0.05 is significant.

Data are presented as mean±SD or median (IQR).

DN, dry needling; NMJR, neuromuscular junction response; NRS, numerical rating scale; PI, pain intensity; PPT, pressure pain threshold; SSR, skin sympathetic response.

Effect of DN on SSR

Evaluation of the SSR can be used for the diagnosis of autonomic disorders.²² However, there is still no consensus on how to process quantitative evaluation of the SSR. Some researchers have used the latency parameter,^{23 24} while other investigators have advocated using the amplitude parameter because they believe that it is difficult to measure the exact onset of deflection from the baseline to assess changes in latency.^{7 25} Accordingly, we focused on changes in amplitude. In this study, the mean amplitude of SSR recorded from the patient's hands (on the affected and unaffected sides) was higher than that of the healthy volunteer control group at baseline. The increased SSR amplitude in individuals with MTrPs may be related to increased psychological stress in these patients.² Constant stress has been shown to cause functional changes in the autonomic nervous system, such as increased SSR amplitude and decreased latency.²⁶ Therefore, in accordance with other studies,^{27 28} we believe that increased SSR amplitude, as observed in the present study, is an indication of sympathetic system dysfunction in patients with MTrPs.

After unilateral DN of the trapezius muscle, the SSR amplitude on both the affected and unaffected sides decreased significantly in the participants with MTrPs

but did not change in the healthy volunteers. This observation implies, firstly, that DN improves global sympathetic function via central (spinal or supraspinal) mechanisms rather than local effects (as measured changes were symmetrical) and, secondly, that DN impacts sympathetic function only in patients. Mechanical stimulation such as DN has been shown to activate afferent A β and A δ fibres.²⁹ In our study, subjective sensation due to needle penetration differed between patients and healthy volunteers. Patients reported pain following needle stimulation, while the healthy volunteers denied any pain or discomfort. It is possible that these differences in sensation lead to differential effects on the sympathetic nervous system in the two groups. Excitation of pain due to needle stimulation via A δ afferent fibres in patients may have suppressed the sympathetic nervous system in this study. Previous studies have reported that acupuncture stimulation activates various brain regions including the insula, anterior cingulate cortex, prefrontal cortex, visual cortex and cerebellar cortex.^{30 31} Since almost all cortical brain areas (including the prefrontal cortex, anterior cingulate cortex, sensorimotor cortex, inferior parietal lobule, lingual and fusiform gyri, temporal cortex, insular and extrastriate visual cortices and the cerebellar cortex) are involved in autonomic

control,^{32–34} we hypothesise that needle stimulation of Aδ afferent fibres modulates higher brain centres to induce inhibitory effects on the autonomic nervous system. At the very least, these findings suggest that DN might relieve chronic pain through its effects on the autonomic nervous system.

Effect of DN on NMJR

In this study, motor endplate activity following the RNS test on the trapezius muscle in patients with MTrPs demonstrated an abnormal increment compared to healthy volunteers at baseline; a decrement of up to 8–10% in the amplitude of the CMAP after RNS is considered normal.²¹ Abnormal NMJR values after the RNS test, noted in the patients with MTrPs in the current study, support the hypothesis put forward by Simons *et al*² that hyperactive motor endplates may contribute to MTrP formation in the muscle. Many other studies have also reported hyperactivity of the motor endplate, measured via spontaneous endplate activity (SEA) in single-fibre EMG (SFEMG) studies.^{35–38} The presence of SEA is an indication of spontaneous release of acetylcholine (ACh) at the neuromuscular junction (NMJ).¹ Our study is consistent with the work of Simons *et al*, given that we exhibited NMJ dysfunction in MTrP patients.

After DN, the percentage changes in NMJR among patients decreased back to the normal range, while it increased into the abnormal range in the healthy volunteers. Decreased irritability of the motor endplate after DN has been shown previously via the SFEMG technique.^{39–40} The lack of previous studies using the RNS technique make it difficult to compare the findings of this study with other published trials. It seems that the elevated NMJR is related to increased concentration of biochemicals such as substance P and calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) in the vicinity of active MTrPs. It has been shown that the levels of such biochemical irritants drop immediately after DN.^{14–17} Moreover, CGRP can increase the release of ACh from the motor endplate and decrease the effectiveness of acetylcholinesterase in the synaptic cleft and enhance ACh receptor efficiency at the same time.¹⁷ Therefore, DN, by modulating the biochemical milieu of MTrPs, can lead to reduction of ACh efficacy and consequently decrease the irritability of the motor endplate.

Effect of DN on PI and PPT

This study, based on NRS evaluation, has shown that DN is effective at decreasing PI, consistent with previous research.^{10–13} A ‘gate control’ mechanism likely underlies the alleviation of pain after DN,²⁹ although its analgesic effects are unlikely to be fully explained by one single mechanism. Analgesia may also be related to the endogenous opioid system including β-endorphin and enkephalins.²⁹ Furthermore, the PPT values of the trapezius muscle were significantly lower

in patients versus healthy volunteers at baseline, which may reflect greater sensitisation of MTrP regions, as previously shown.^{37–47} PPT is a valid clinical method of assessing MTrP sensitivity.⁴⁸ In our study, DN decreased PPT in the normal subjects but increased it in patients. The observed decrease in PPT value among normal subjects might have been due to muscle damage by needle penetration. While this was also likely to have occurred in patients, pain from this type of minor injury may have been mitigated by other mechanisms such as neuronal (spinal or supraspinal) effects or biochemical changes at the MTrP site following DN.⁴⁹

Advantages and limitations of the study

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first clinical investigation to examine the immediate effects of DN on sympathetic and motor responses, as measured by SSR and surface EMG, respectively. One major limitation is that the therapist applying the intervention and the investigator collecting the data were not blind to the treatment group. Moreover, this study did not measure patients’ functional abilities or the long-term effects of DN.

CONCLUSION

In summary, one session of DN increased PPT and decreased pain, SSR and NMJR in patients with upper trapezius MTrPs. Therefore, DN may play an important role in treating active MTrPs via inhibition of sympathetic nervous activity and reduction of NMJ hyperactivity.

Acknowledgements We would like to express our appreciation to the Tehran University of Medical Sciences for supporting our study. We would also like to thank all the individuals who participated.

Contributors MA-A was involved in the design of the work, delivered the intervention, collected and analysed the data and drafted the paper. NNA contributed to the design of the work, monitored data collection and revised the paper. SN designed the data collection tools and monitored data collection. GO contributed to the design of the work and data collection tools. MRN wrote the statistical analysis plan and revised the paper. All authors approved the final accepted version.

Funding This research was supported by the Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Obtained.

Ethics approval The Ethical Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences approved this study.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

- 1 Simons DG. Review of enigmatic MTrPs as a common cause of enigmatic musculoskeletal pain and dysfunction. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 2004;14:95–107.
- 2 Simons DG, Travell JG, Simons LS. *Travell & Simons' myofascial pain and dysfunction the trigger point manual*. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins, 1999.

- 3 Chang CW, Chang KY, Chen YR, *et al.* Electrophysiologic evidence of spinal accessory neuropathy in patients with cervical myofascial pain syndrome. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2011;92:935–40.
- 4 Ustun N, Arslan F, Mansuroglu A, *et al.* Efficacy of EMLA cream phonophoresis comparison with ultrasound therapy on myofascial pain syndrome of the trapezius: a single-blind, randomized clinical study. *Rheumatol Int* 2014;34:453–7.
- 5 Dommerholt J HP. *Myofascial Trigger points, Pathophysiology and Evidence... Informed Diagnosis and Management.* Jones and Bartlett Publisher, 2010.
- 6 Ge HY, Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Arendt-Nielsen L. Sympathetic facilitation of hyperalgesia evoked from myofascial tender and trigger points in patients with unilateral shoulder pain. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2006;117:1545–50.
- 7 Muslumanoglu L, Akyuz G, Aki S, *et al.* Evaluation of autonomic nervous system functions in post-stroke patients. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2002;81:721–5.
- 8 Tidswell T, Pitt MC. A new analytical method to diagnose congenital myasthenia with stimulated single-fiber electromyography. *Muscle Nerve* 2007;35:107–10.
- 9 Kalichman L, Vulfsoms S. Dry needling in the management of musculoskeletal pain. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2010;23:640–6.
- 10 Tekin L, Akarsu S, Durmus O, *et al.* The effect of dry needling in the treatment of myofascial pain syndrome: a randomized double-blinded placebo-controlled trial. *Clin Rheumatol* 2013;32:309–15.
- 11 Vulfsoms S, Ratmansky M, Kalichman L. Trigger point needling: techniques and outcome. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2012;16:407–12.
- 12 Kietrys DM, Palombaro KM, Azzaretto E, *et al.* Effectiveness of dry needling for upper-quarter myofascial pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 2013;43:620–34.
- 13 Liu L, Huang QM, Liu QG, *et al.* Effectiveness of dry needling for myofascial trigger points associated with neck and shoulder pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2015;96:944–55.
- 14 Shah JP, Gilliams EA. Uncovering the biochemical milieu of myofascial trigger points using in vivo microdialysis: an application of muscle pain concepts to myofascial pain syndrome. *J Bodyw Mov Ther* 2008;12:371–84.
- 15 Ga H, Choi JH, Park CH, *et al.* Acupuncture needling versus lidocaine injection of trigger points in myofascial pain syndrome in elderly patients—a randomised trial. *Acupunct Med* 2007;25:130–6.
- 16 Tsai CT, Hsieh LF, Kuan TS, *et al.* Remote effects of dry needling on the irritability of the myofascial trigger point in the upper trapezius muscle. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2010;89:133–40.
- 17 Gerber NL SS, Hammond J, Shah J. A brief overview and update of myofascial pain syndrome and myofascial trigger points. *J Spinal Res Found* 2011;6:55–64.
- 18 Mejuto-Vazquez MJ, Salom-Moreno J, Ortega-Santiago R, *et al.* Short-term changes in neck pain, widespread pressure pain sensitivity, and cervical range of motion after the application of trigger point dry needling in patients with acute mechanical neck pain: a randomized clinical trial. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 2014;44:252–60.
- 19 Rayegani SM, Bayat M, Bahrami MH, *et al.* Comparison of dry needling and physiotherapy in treatment of myofascial pain syndrome. *Clin Rheumatol* 2014;33:859–64.
- 20 Abbaszadeh-Amirdehi M, Ansari NN, Naghdi S, *et al.* The neurophysiological effects of dry needling in patients with upper trapezius myofascial trigger points: study protocol of a controlled clinical trial. *BMJ Open* 2013;3:pii: e002825.
- 21 Oh SJ. *Electromyography: neuromuscular transmission studies.* Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins, 1988.
- 22 Kucera P, Goldenberg Z, Kurca E. Sympathetic skin response: review of the method and its clinical use. *Bratisl Lek Listy* 2004;105:108–16.
- 23 Denislac M, Meh D. Sympathetic skin response in parkinsonian patients. *Electromyogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1996;36:231–5.
- 24 Karatas GK OM, Meray J. Autonomic nervous system involvement in Bechet's disease. *Rheumatol Int* 2002;22:155–59.
- 25 Emad MR PK, Sedaghat P. Evaluation of sympathetic skin response in old-polio patients. *Iranian Red Crescent Med J* 2011;13:829–31.
- 26 Mohan A, Sharma R, Bijlani RL. Effect of meditation on stress-induced changes in cognitive functions. *J Altern Complement Med* 2011;17:207–12.
- 27 Chung JW, Ohrbach R, McCall WD Jr. Effect of increased sympathetic activity on electrical activity from myofascial painful areas. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2004;63:842–50.
- 28 Kimura Y, Ge HY, Zhang Y, *et al.* Evaluation of sympathetic vasoconstrictor response following nociceptive stimulation of latent myofascial trigger points in humans. *Acta Physiol* 2009;196:411–17.
- 29 Cagnie B, Dewitte V, Barbe T, *et al.* Physiologic effects of dry needling. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2013;17:348.
- 30 Biella G, Sotgiu ML, Pellegata G, *et al.* Acupuncture produces central activations in pain regions. *Neuroimage* 2001;14(Pt 1):60–6.
- 31 Pariente J, White P, Frackowiak RS, *et al.* Expectancy and belief modulate the neuronal substrates of pain treated by acupuncture. *Neuroimage* 2005;25:1161–7.
- 32 Gianaros PJ, Van Der Veen FM, Jennings JR. Regional cerebral blood flow correlates with heart period and high-frequency heart period variability during working-memory tasks: Implications for the cortical and subcortical regulation of cardiac autonomic activity. *Psychophysiology* 2004;41:521–30.
- 33 Sakai S, Hori E, Umeno K, *et al.* Specific acupuncture sensation correlates with EEGs and autonomic changes in human subjects. *Auton Neurosci* 2007;133:158–69.
- 34 Viltart O, Mullier O, Bernet F, *et al.* Motor cortical control of cardiovascular bulbar neurones projecting to spinal autonomic areas. *J Neurosci Res* 2003;73:122–35.
- 35 Chou LW, Hsieh YL, Kao MJ, *et al.* Remote influences of acupuncture on the pain intensity and the amplitude changes of endplate noise in the myofascial trigger point of the upper trapezius muscle. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2009;90:905–12.
- 36 Ge HY, Arendt-Nielsen L. Latent myofascial trigger points. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2011;15:386–92.
- 37 Ge HY, Nie H, Madeleine P, *et al.* Contribution of the local and referred pain from active myofascial trigger points in fibromyalgia syndrome. *Pain* 2009;147:233–40.
- 38 Simons DG, Hong CZ, Simons LS. Endplate potentials are common to midfiber myofascial trigger points. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2002;81:212–22.
- 39 Chen JT, Chung KC, Hou CR, *et al.* Inhibitory effect of dry needling on the spontaneous electrical activity recorded from myofascial trigger spots of rabbit skeletal muscle. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2001;80:729–35.

- 40 Hsieh YL, Chou LW, Joe YS, *et al.* Spinal cord mechanism involving the remote effects of dry needling on the irritability of myofascial trigger spots in rabbit skeletal muscle. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2011;92:1098–105.
- 41 Shah JP, Danoff JV, Desai MJ, *et al.* Biochemicals associated with pain and inflammation are elevated in sites near to and remote from active myofascial trigger points. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2008;89:16–23.
- 42 Shah JP, HJ. New frontiers in the pathophysiology of myofascial pain. *Pain Practitioner* 2012;22:26–33.
- 43 Cummings TM, White AR. Needling therapies in the management of myofascial trigger point pain: a systematic review. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2001;82:986–92.
- 44 Kietrys DM, Palombaro KM, Mannheimer JS. Dry needling for management of pain in the upper quarter and craniofacial region. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2014;18:437.
- 45 Tough EA, White AR, Cummings TM, *et al.* Acupuncture and dry needling in the management of myofascial trigger point pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Eur J Pain* 2009;13:3–10.
- 46 Li LT, Ge HY, Yue SW, *et al.* Nociceptive and non-nociceptive hypersensitivity at latent myofascial trigger points. *Clin J Pain* 2009;25:132–7.
- 47 Wang YH, Ding XL, Zhang Y, *et al.* Ischemic compression block attenuates mechanical hyperalgesia evoked from latent myofascial trigger points. *Exp Brain Res* 2010;202:265–70.
- 48 Wang G, Gao Q, Hou J, *et al.* Effects of temperature on chronic trapezius myofascial pain syndrome during dry needling therapy. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med* 2014;2014:638268.
- 49 Srbely JZ, Dickey JP, Lee D, *et al.* Dry needle stimulation of myofascial trigger points evokes segmental anti-nociceptive effects. *J Rehabil Med* 2010;42:463–8.



British Medical Acupuncture Society
 2/3 Waddington Court, Northwich CW8 1AQ
 Phone: 01606 786782 Fax: 01606 786783
 Email: admin@thebmas.com
 Website: www.medical-acupuncture.co.uk

NCVO, London N1: 25 October 2017

BMAS Back Pain Course

Led by Mike Cummings



A one-day course for acupuncturists, with lectures, demonstrations and practical sessions.

Focussing on the assessment, treatment and management of back pain.



Therapeutic effects of dry needling in patients with upper trapezius myofascial trigger points

Maryam Abbaszadeh-Amirdehi, Nouredin Nakhostin Ansari, Soofia Naghdi, Gholamreza Olyaei and Mohammad Reza Nourbakhsh

Acupunct Med 2017 35: 85-92 originally published online October 3, 2016
doi: 10.1136/acupmed-2016-011082

Updated information and services can be found at:
<http://aim.bmj.com/content/35/2/85>

These include:

References

This article cites 46 articles, 2 of which you can access for free at:
<http://aim.bmj.com/content/35/2/85#BIBL>

Open Access

This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections
[Open access](#) (52)

Notes

To request permissions go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>